### Comments on Mikako Matsuura's claim for the presence of a large amount of cold dust in the ejecta of SN 1987A Patrice Bouchet, DSM/IRFU/Sap, CEA-Saclay

- 1. Detection of an emission at 10µm from the ejecta (October 2003 to December, 2010)
- 2. Energy budget with dust at 80<T<100 K agreed at day 1316 with theory and still does.
- 3. Cold dust was not present at day 1316

- When did this cold dust appear?
- Origin and heating mechanism?
- Could warm and cold dust co-exist in the ejecta?

# How does the presence of dust manifest itself? (FOR AN OBSERVER!....)

There are several ways in which the presence of dust may be shown to be associated with supernovae. Each individually may not be unequivocal concerning its precise location and time of origin. This is mainly due to the facts that (i) an infrared excess doesn't indicate unambiguously the presence of dust (line emissions) and (ii) although dust can form in the ejecta, it may also form or be already present in the CSM. SN1987A provided the means of examining these methods.

#### Possible dust indicators.

- > 1. Early presence of molecules
- 2. Blueward line shifts.
- ➤ 3. Light curves:
  - 1. Decrease in visual light curves.
  - 2. Increase in IR emission.
  - 3. <u>+ NO</u> Effects on Bolometric light curve.

Dust in or near other SNe has used some but never all these methods.

## Evidence for Dust Formation in SN 1987A Blue

5

10

15

20

V magnitude

## Dust preceded by molecule formation. CO, SiO <150 days



Fig. 7. CVF spectra from 1.4–5.4  $\mu$  of SN 1987A at 2 epochs. Note the temporal evolution of the strength of the fundamental and first overtone bands of CO, and the way in which the strength of the [Ni i] 3.12  $\mu$  has changed.

Danziger & Bouchet, 1989





Suntzeff & Bouchet, 1990

## In SN 1987A, an IR excess was apparent at ~ 100 day which was due to CO and SiO molecules.

Dust appeared at ~ 400 day, CO and SiO still prominent in the spectrum. At day 1110 the SED could be fitted with a black body curve at T = 155 K



Frequency (Hz)

Suntzeff & Bouchet, 1990 Bouchet, Danziger, Lucy, 1991

## 2009 STIS spectrum of SN87A showing Halpha region



100

Central part (debris) shows blue (approaching) extends to ~4000 km/s. Red extension not apparent: Dust in ejecta blocks far side receding. (SAINTS; France et al., 2010)

120

140

160

180

### SN1957D in M83 Type lb/c,II



Figure 2: Spectrum of SN 1957d. Wavelength identifies features discussed in the text, since the redshift of M83 is only 500 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

### Blue shift 650km/s of [OIII] → Dust remains after 30 years



Hubble Space Telescope (Optical)



## Central emission detected at 10 µm!!





 $M_{dust,ejecta} = 0.4 - 0.7 M_{\odot}$ , 17<T<23 K Matsuura et al., 2011





Bouchet et al., 2004, 2006:  $M_{dust,ejecta} = 10^{-4} - 2 \ 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$ , 80<T<100K





MIRI/JWST : 1μJy @ 10 μm (10σ, 10,000s)



IR Spectrum for day 1316. Note L & 1.3mm fluxes. Mass of radiating dust.~3x10<sup>-4</sup>Msun. Also type of dust silicates or C? (featureless spectrum).

> Bouchet, Danziger, Lucy, 1991 Bouchet & Danziger, 1993 Suntzeff & Bouchet, 1990

The derived dust mass is about 10<sup>3</sup> times larger and about twenty times colder than that measured at mid-infrared wavelengths around 600 days after the explosion, soon after it first condensed out of the ejecta (15, 16). Far-infrared and submm observatories with Herschel's sensitivity did not exist at that time. It is possible this submm dust mass existed at dav 600. At day 1316, SEST measurement The energy budget (bolometric light curve) was exactly as expected from radioactive decays  $\rightarrow$  rules out the possibility that cooler dust was also present at that time.



Matsuura et al., 2011 →  $L_{100-500\mu m} = 8.5 \text{ E}+35 \text{ Erg s}^{-1}$ Bouchet et al., 2006 →  $L_{5-30\mu m} = 1.3 \text{ E}+36 \text{ Erg s}^{-1}$  (at day 1316, ~90% of total luminosity)

Radioactivity + X-raying of the ejecta (Larsson et al., 2011)  $\rightarrow$  L<sub>bol</sub>  $\approx$  1.7 E+36 Erg s<sup>-1</sup>

### ?????