## Spectroscopic modeling of the 1987A ejecta

Anders Jerkstrand, Stockholm University



- Kjaer, K., Leibundgut, B., Fransson, C., Jerkstrand, A., Spyromilio, J., A&A 2010, 517, 51

- Jerkstrand, A., Fransson, C., Kozma, C., A&A 2011, 530, 45

#### Background

 Only in the nebular phase, after the photosphere has disappeared, can we see inside the exploded star to diagnose what it contains.



Ejected oxygen mass versus progenitor ZAMS mass for  $E \sim 1$  Bethe.

# What have we learned so far from nebular analysis of 1987A?

Xu & McCray 1992, Li & McCray 1992, Meikle 1993, Li & McCray 1993, Chugai 1994

- The explosion:
  - Most line profiles are similar --> the explosion produced strong mixing in velocity space of the different zones.
  - The number of <sup>56</sup>Ni fragments is ~10<sup>2</sup>, and the number of O fragments is ~10<sup>3</sup>.
  - The zone filling factors (inside 2500 km/s) are:
    - <sup>56</sup>Ni clumps: >~ 0.3
    - O clumps : ~ 0.1
    - H clumps : ~ 0.5



3D explosion model <sup>3</sup> from Hammer et al. 2010

# What have we learned so far from nebular analysis of 1987A?

Li & McCray 1992, Chugai1994, Li & McCray 1995, Kozma & Fransson 1998, deKool & McCray 1998

- The composition :
  - He mass : 2 7 M<sub>o</sub>
  - H mass: 4 5 M<sub>o</sub>
  - O mass : 1 2 M<sub>o</sub>
  - No clear results for any other masses! But likely

 $M_{others} : <~ 1 M_{\odot}$  $\rightarrow M_{ejecta} : ~ 11 M_{\odot}$ 

The O mass corresponds to the production of *non-rotating* stars with M<sub>ZAMS</sub> = 18 - 20 M<sub>0</sub>.

### Modeling

Jerkstrand, Fransson & Kozma 2011

- Our model assumes the SN to be..
  - ..1D.
  - ..in homologous expansion.
    - t > few days.
  - ..in steady-state.
    - t ~ 150-700 days, for IIP's.
  - ..have complete *macroscopic* mixing in the core, but no *microscopic* mixing.
- Explosion models from Woosley & Heger 2007.

H He O/C O/Ne/Mg O/Si Si/S Fe



#### **Evolution of powering**



### 1987A ejecta at 8 years : What are we looking at?



### 1987A ejecta at 8 years : What are we looking at?



#### Is nebular nebular? Not really...scattering/fluorescence has strong influence on spectrum!



## Does there exist a magnetic field to trap the positrons? Yes!



#### The <sup>44</sup>Ti mass

A <sup>44</sup>Ti mass of (1.5±0.5)·10<sup>-4</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> is our best fit to reproduce the overall flux level of the spectrum.



#### 1987A ejecta in the NIR at 19 years

Kjaer et al. 2010

- Local positron deposition model gives good match also here.
- 3D mapping at 1.64 µm follows the distribution of synthesized silicon.
- X-ray illumination seems to have little impact in the NIR.



13

#### Other modeling going on

Maurer et al. 2011, Jerkstrand et al. 2011, in prep., Maguire et al. 2011, in prep.

- <u>Type IIPs.</u>
   Comparison between a **12 M**<sub>sun</sub> and **19 M**<sub>sun</sub> model at 400 days. —
- <u>Type la's</u>. Emergent spectrum of W7
   <u>explosion model</u> compared to SN
   2005cf at 94 days.



#### Dust

- The line profiles still show blue-shifted peaks at 8 years --> dust clumps are still optically thick!
- This fact may be used to put a lower limit on the dust mass.
- $M_{dust} > 4\pi/3 R_o^2 f^{2/3} N^{1/3} \kappa_{optical}^{-1}$ . For f = 0.1 and N = 20 (Lucy1989), we get

$$M_{dust} > 3.1 M_{\odot} / \kappa_{optical}$$

# What type of dust can still cause optical extinction at 8 years?

Dust type	<b>)ust type</b> κ <sup>abs</sup> optical		M <sub>dust</sub> [M <sub>☉</sub> ]	
• $Al_2O_3$	8·10 <sup>-3</sup>	> 400	Ruled out!	
• Mg <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	10	> 0.3	Ruled out!	
• Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	2·10 <sup>3</sup>	> 1·10 <sup>-3</sup>	Problematic	
<ul> <li>Fe whiskers</li> </ul>	4·10 <sup>4</sup>	> 8·10⁻⁵	Problematic	
Graphite	<b>10</b> <sup>4</sup>	> 3.10-4	OK	
• AC	10 <sup>4</sup> -10 <sup>5</sup>	> 3·10⁻⁵	OK	

#### Summary

- Analysis of **nebular phase spectra** can reveal what the exploded star is made of, and thereby what type of main-sequence star it was. We have developed detailed computational tools to perform such analysis.
- Application to the late spectrum of the 1987A ejecta suggests
  - About 1.5-10<sup>-4</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> of <sup>44</sup>Ti was produced in the explosion, which is a challenge for many explosion models.
  - A (non-combed) magnetic field is present in ejecta to trap positrons.
  - The most important component in the spectrum is from **neutral iron**.
  - Emission lines are also seen from magnesium, silicon and oxygen, which can constrain dust models.
  - Much of the optical/NIR spectrum in Type II SNe is produced by scattering / fluorescence of UV emission, even as the nebula is many years old. These processes are much more efficient in SNe than in static nebulae.
  - At least  $10^{-4}$  M<sub>sun</sub> of **carbon dust** seems to be present.